WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		DI	STRICT OF ARIZONA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. Jaime Joel Olguin-Quintana			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
		ime Joel Olguin-Quintana	Case Number: <u>11-08672M-001</u>	
and was	represe	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. ented by counsel. I conclude by a p nt pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 23, 2011. Defendant was present preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
		onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
i iiia by			the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
	\boxtimes		charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
		The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
The defendant has a prior criminal history.The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		The defendant has a prior crimin	al history.	
		The defendant lives/works in Me	xico.	
		The defendant is an amnesty a substantial family ties to Mexico.	pplicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
		There is a record of prior failure	to appear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to eva	de law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maxim	num of years imprisonment.	
at the tir	The Co me of th	ourt incorporates by reference the ne hearing in this matter, except a	material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court s noted in the record.	
			CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
	1.	There is a serious risk that the d		
	2.		onditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
			CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a correct appeal. of the U	tions fac The de nited Sta	cility separate, to the extent praction rendant shall be afforded a reasor tates or on request of an attorney f	dy of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in table, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending table opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court or the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the urpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
		APPE	ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
deliver a	IT IS O	RDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsider	f this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ation to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services investig	s sufficie	URTHER ORDERED that if a releation in advance of the hearing be potential third party custodian.	ase to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial efore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE:	May 2	<u>23, 2011 </u>	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	